arXiv:1401.2741v1 [math.CO] 13 Jan 2014

Two-sided Cayley graphs

Moharram N. Iradmusa[a], **Cheryl E. Praeger**[b]

[a] *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran*
iradmusa@ipm.ir
[b] *School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Western Australia,*
35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
cheryl.praeger@uwa.edu.au
Also affiliated with King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

We introduce a family of graphs that generalises the class of Cayley graphs. For non-empty subsets L, R of a group G, the two-sided Cayley graph $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ is the directed graph with vertex set G and an arc from x to y if and only if $y = \ell^{-1}xr$ for some $\ell \in L$ and $r \in R$. Thus, in common with Cayley graphs, two-sided Cayley graphs may be useful to model networks as the same routing and communication scheme can be implemented at each vertex. We determine when two-sided Cayley graphs are simple undirected graphs, and give sufficient conditions for them to be connected, vertex-transitive, or Cayley graphs. Several open problems are posed. Many examples are given, including one on 12 vertices with connected components of sizes 4 and 8.

Key words: Cayley graph, vertex-transitive graph, Group.

Subject classification: 05C25 .

1 Introduction

In this paper we study a family of graphs that generalises the class of Cayley graphs. We call the new graphs two-sided Cayley graphs and explore some of their properties. For a subset S of a group G such that the identity $e \notin S$ and $S = S^{-1}$ (where $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} | s \in S\}$), the *Cayley graph* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is the graph with vertex set G such that a vertex pair (x, y) is an arc if and only if $xy^{-1} \in S$. The conditions $e \notin S$ and $S = S^{-1}$ ensure that Γ may be viewed as a simple undirected graph, and that the group G acts by right multiplication as a vertex-transitive group of automorphisms [4]. Cayley graphs were introduced by Arthur Cayley in 1878 to explain the concept of an abstract group given by a set of generators [5]. There are many meaningful applications of Cayley graphs in molecular biology, computer science and coding theory [3, 8, 9, 11]. Because of their symmetry properties, Cayley graphs are used as models for many interconnection networks. Moreover various generalisations of Cayley graphs have been introduced in the literature as prototypes of transitive graphs with some degree of success: for instance, quasi-Cayley graphs by Gauyacq [7], various kinds of groupoid graphs by Mwambene [12, 13], group action graphs by Annexstein et al [1], and general semigroup graphs by Kelarev and the second author [10].

One of the advantages of using Cayley graphs as models of networks is that their vertex-transitivity makes it possible to implement the same routing and communication scheme at each node of the network. At each vertex x of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ the edges from x go to the vertices sx for $s \in S$. Thus we may view S as a 'connection subset' $\hat{S} := \{\lambda_{e,s} \mid s \in S\}$ of permutations of G (where $\lambda_{e,s}$ is the permutation $g \mapsto gs$) that determines adjacency locally at each vertex.

Two-sided Cayley graphs: basic properties

A two-sided Cayley graph also possesses this local connection property, and is more general in the sense that the connection subset of permutations may act *on both sides*, that is to say, we consider permutations of the form $\lambda_{\ell,r} : g \mapsto \ell^{-1}gr$ for certain $\ell, r \in G$.

Definition 1.1 For non-empty subsets L, R of a group G, we define the *two-sided Cayley graph* $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ as the (directed) graph with vertex set G with an arc from x to y if and only if $y = \ell^{-1}xr$ for some $\ell \in L$ and $r \in R$. The *connection set* of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ is defined as the set $\hat{S}(L, R) = \{\lambda_{\ell,r} : (\ell, r) \in L \times R\}$.

We note that the edges of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ from a vertex x go to the vertices $(x)\lambda$, for $\lambda \in \hat{S}(L, R)$. If the adjacency relation is symmetric, in the sense that (x, y) is an arc if and only if (y, x) is an arc, then $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; LR)$ will be regarded as an undirected graph. Conditions for this to occur and also for the graph to contain no loops or multiple edges, that is to say, conditions for $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; LR)$ to be a simple undirected graph, can be given in terms of properties of L and R as follows.

Definition 1.2 A pair (L, R) of subsets of a group G with identity element e has the *2S-Cayley property* if both L, R are non-empty, and the following conditions all hold.

(1) $L^{-1}gR = LgR^{-1}$ for each $g \in G$;

(2) $L^g \cap R = \varnothing$ for each $g \in G$;

(3) $(L\,L^{-1})^g \cap (R\,R^{-1}) = \{e\}$ for each $g \in G$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let L, R be nonempty subsets of a group G. Then $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ is a simple undirected graph if and only if (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property. If this is the case then $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ has valency $|L|.|R|$.*

We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 3 by studying separately the significance of each of the conditions in Definition 1.2 (Lemma 3.1). If both of the sets L, R are inverse-closed, that is to say, if $L = L^{-1}$ and $R = R^{-1}$, then the conditions of Definition 1.2 are somewhat simpler. However it is possible for a pair of subsets to have the 2S-Cayley property but not both be inverse-closed, as demonstrated by Example 2.1.

We also show in Lemma 3.1 that, for (L, R) with the 2S-Cayley property, each element $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ of $\hat{S}(L, R)$ is a *derangement* of G, that is to say, $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ has no fixed points in G. Proposition 3.3

provides a set of conditions on the connection set $\hat{S}(L,R)$ which hold for (L,R) with the 2S-Cayley property.

Remark 1.4 (a) Each Cayley graph is a two-sided Cayley graph, namely if $e \notin S$ and $S = S^{-1}$, then $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S) = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G;S,\{e\})$, and it is easy to check that $(S,\{e\})$ has the 2S-Cayley property. There are sometimes other possibilities for the subsets L,R giving the same Cayley graph. We describe such a graph in Example 2.2.

(b) As mentioned above each Cayley graph is vertex transitive. This is not the case for two-sided Cayley graphs, indicating in particular that these graphs form a strictly larger family of graphs: we present in Example 2.3 a two-sided Cayley graph with two non-isomorphic connected components.

(c) A Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is connected if and only if S generates G. Theorem 1.5 gives an analogue of this connectivity criterion for two-sided Cayley graphs in the case where L,R are inverse-closed subsets.

By a *word* in a subset L of a group G, we mean a string $w = \ell_1\ell_2\ldots\ell_k$ with each $\ell_i \in L$; the integer k is called the *length* of w, denoted $|w|$, and we often identify w with its *evaluation* in G (the element of G obtained by multiplying together the ℓ_i in the given order).

Theorem 1.5 *Let L,R be inverse-closed subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G;L,R)$. Then Γ is connected if and only if*

(∗) *$G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$, and there exist words w in L and w' in R, with lengths of opposite parity, such that $ww' = e$ in G.*

Further, if $G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$, but condition (∗) does not hold, then Γ is disconnected with exactly two connected components.

Remark 1.6 (*a*) The exceptional case of Theorem 1.5 does arise, see the family of graphs in Example 2.4. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 1.5 in Section 3 shows that, if $G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$ but condition (∗) does not hold then, for each $g \in G$, and each expression $g = ww'$, where w and w' are words in L and R respectively, the parity of the sum $|w| + |w'|$ is independent of the words w, w', and depends only on g. Let $\delta(g) \in \{0,1\}$ where $\delta(g) \equiv |w| + |w'| \pmod 2$. We show that the connected components of Γ are the sets $\mathcal{C}_\delta = \{g \mid g \in G,\ \delta(g) = \delta\}$, for $\delta \in \{0,1\}$. In particular $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $L \cup R \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$.

(*b*) It is not clear how to modify the proof to cover the cases where not both of L,R are inverse-closed.

Problem 1 *Find necessary and sufficient conditions for $2\mathrm{SCay}(G;L,R)$ to be connected, where L,R have the 2S-Cayley property but are not both inverse-closed.*

We now mention several generic isomorphisms between two-sided Cayley graphs for a group G, that are induced from automorphisms of G. This result is proved in Section 4.

Theorem 1.7 *Let L, R be non-empty subsets of a group G, let $x, y \in G$, and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$.*

(a) If at least one of the following pairs has the 2S-Cayley property, then they all do: $(L, R), \quad (R, L), \quad (L^\sigma, R^\sigma), \quad (L^x, R^y)$.

(b) Let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ and suppose that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property. Then

$$2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R) \cong 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; R, L) \cong 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L^\sigma, R^\sigma) \cong 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L^x, R^y).$$

The smallest vertex transitive graph that is not a Cayley graph is the Petersen graph P on 10 vertices, and we wondered whether P could be a two-sided Cayley graph for some group of order 10. However, the following result about connected two-sided Cayley graphs of prime valency shows that P cannot be a two-sided Cayley graph, since P has valency 3 and is not a Cayley graph, and the only groups of order 10 are abelian or dihedral.

Theorem 1.8 *Let L, R be subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and suppose that $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ is connected and regular of prime valency p. Then either Γ is a Cayley graph, or p is odd and the following all hold, up to interchanging L and R.*

(a) $R = \{r\}$, where r lies in a G-conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ of non-central elements;

(b) $G = \langle L \rangle$, $|L| = p$, and $L \cap \mathcal{C} = \varnothing$.

Moreover if G is abelian or if G is dihedral of twice-odd order, then Γ is a Cayley graph.

Problem 2 *Find other natural classes of two-sided Cayley graphs that can be guaranteed to be Cayley graphs.*

Symmetry of two-sided Cayley graphs

Example 2.3 demonstrates that not all two-sided Cayley graphs are Cayley graphs. We next give sufficient conditions on L, R for $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ to be a Cayley graph for G.

It has been known since at least 1958 that a simple undirected graph is a Cayley graph if and only if its automorphism group has a subgroup acting regularly on the vertices (see Sabidussi [14, Lemma 4]). A permutation group is *regular* if it is transitive, and only the identity fixes a point. For any group G, the *right regular representation* and *left regular representation* give two regular subgroups of $\mathrm{Sym}(G)$, each isomorphic to G, namely $G_R = \{\lambda_{e,g} | g \in G\}$ and $G_L = \{\lambda_{g,e} | g \in G\}$.

Theorem 1.9 *Let L, R be subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$.*

(a) $G_R \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ *if and only if* $L^{-1}gR = L^{-1}Rg$ *for each* $g \in G$*; here* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$.

(b) $G_L \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ *if and only if* $L^{-1}gR = gL^{-1}R$ *for each* $g \in G$*; here* $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, R^{-1}L)$.

Remark 1.10 (a) Theorem 1.9 is proved in Section 5, and we derive from it, in Proposition 5.1, two sufficient conditions for $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ to be a Cayley graph for G in terms of certain factorisations of the group G.

(b) The conditions given in Theorem 1.9 are far from necessary. We give in Example 2.5 a family of examples of two-sided Cayey graphs, all of which are Cayley graphs, where none of the conditions of Theorem 1.9 or of Proposition 5.1 hold.

(c) A more general study of the permutations $\lambda_{x,y}$ which act as automorphisms of a two-sided Cayley graph leads to a sufficient condition for such a graph to be vertex-transitive, namely that G factorises as $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$ (Proposition 5.2). Studying the subclass of two-sided Cayley graphs $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ which are guaranteed to be vertex-transitive by the condition $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$ may be profitable. In particular the $2S$-Cayley property becomes much simpler (Proposition 5.3). However we do not know if all such graphs are Cayley graphs, or if there are vertex-transitive two-sided Cayley graphs for which $G \neq N_G(L)N_G(R)$.

Problem 3 *Determine whether or not all two-sided Cayley graphs* $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ *for which* $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$ *are Cayley graphs.*

Problem 4 *Find necessary and sufficient conditions on a two-sided Cayley graph to be vertex-transitive.*

2 Examples of two-sided Cayley graphs

In this section we give several examples of two-sided Cayley graphs which exhibit various interesting properties. First we give an example of a two-sided Cayley graph which is a simple graph but for which the defining subsets L, R are not inverse-closed.

Example 2.1 Let G be the dihedral group $\langle a, b \mid a^6 = b^2 = e, bab = a^{-1}\rangle$ of order 12, and let $L = \{a, a^2\}$ and $R = \{b, a^3b\}$. We note that L is not inverse-closed, but $R = R^{-1}$. We check below that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, and so by Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ is a simple undirected two-sided Cayley graph of valency 4. Also the condition of Theorem 1.9 (b) holds so the left multiplication action of G_L is a subgroup of automorphisms, proving that Γ is a Cayley graph, in fact $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$. Also Γ is the lexicographic product $C_6[2.K_1]$ of a cycle of length 6 and two isolated vertices $2.K_1$.

Proof. We verify the condition of Definition 1.2 for $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$. Note that $R = R^{-1}$. For $g = a^ib$ we have $gR = \{a^i, a^{i+3}\}$ and so $L^{-1}gR = LgR^{-1} = \{a^j | j = 1, 2, 4, 5\}$. Similarly for $g = a^i$, $L^{-1}gR = LgR^{-1} = \{a^jb \mid j = 1, 2, 4, 5\}$. This proves condition (1). Next



Figure 1: Disconnected two-sided Cayley graph with non-isomorphic components

we note that, for each $g \in G$, L^g equals L or L^{-1} and each is disjoint from R, so (2) holds. Finally $LL^{-1} = \{e, a, a^5\}$ is G-invariant and meets $RR^{-1} = \{e, a^3\}$ in $\{e\}$, so (3) holds. ■

Next we give the Cayley graph example referred to in Remark 1.4(a).

Example 2.2 Let $G = \mathrm{Sym}(3)$, $L = \{e, (12)\}$ and $R = \{(123), (132)\}$. It is easy to check that (L, R) satisfies conditions $(1)-(3)$ of Definition 1.2, so (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, and by Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma := \mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ is a simple undirected two-sided Cayley graph of valency 4. A straightforward computation verifies that Γ is isomorphic to the complete graph K_6 with the edges of the following matching removed: $\{e, (12)\}, \{(13), (123)\}, \{(23), (132)\}$. Also Γ is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$ (see Theorem 1.9 and Remark 1.10(a)). This representation of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$ as a two-sided Cayley graph is in addition to the one in Remark 1.4, namely $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; LR, \{e\})$. Note also that $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{2SCay}(G; R, L) = \mathrm{Cay}(G, RL)$, see Theorem 1.9.

Now we present a two-sided Cayley graph with non-isomorphic connected components, promised in Remark 1.4(b).

Example 2.3 Let G be the dihedral group $\langle a, b \mid a^6 = b^2 = e, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$ of order 12, and let $L = \{ab, a^3, e\}$ and $R = \{b\}$. It is easy to check that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, and so by Theorem 1.3, $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ is a simple undirected two-sided Cayley graph of valency 3. In addition, $G \neq \langle L \rangle \langle R \rangle$, so $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ is disconnected, by Theorem 1.5. In fact $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ has two non-isomorphic components of orders 4 and 8, as shown in Figure 1, and in particular this graph is not vertex-transitive.

The next family of examples demonstrates that disconnected two-sided Cayley graphs $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ exist with $G = \langle L \rangle \langle R \rangle$ (see Remark 1.6).

Example 2.4 Let G be the dihedral group $\langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = e, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$ of order $2n$, and let $L = \{b\}$ and $R = \{a, a^{-1}\}$. It is easy to check that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, and so by Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma := \mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ is a simple undirected two-sided Cayley graph of valency 2, so each connected component of Γ is a cycle. An easy computation confirms that

if n is odd then $\Gamma = C_{2n}$ is connected, while if n is even then $\Gamma = 2.C_n$ has two connected components.

We now see how this confirms the conclusions of Theorem 1.5. For all n, $G = \langle L \rangle \langle R \rangle$. Let w, w' be words in L, R respectively such that $ww' = e$. In particular $ww' \in \langle a \rangle$, and hence w evaluates to e in G, so $|w|$ is even. Thus also $w' = e$ in G, and there exists such a word w' of odd length if and only if n is odd, so by Theorem 1.5, Γ is connected if and only if n is odd. Suppose that n is even, and note that the identity e is joined to ba and ba^{-1}. In this case the two components of Γ are as described in Remark 1.6, for example, the component $\mathcal{C}_0$ containing e consists of the elements a^{2i}, ba^{2i-1} for all i.

Finally we give the examples referred to in Remark 1.10 (b). To construct these graphs we use groups with at least one non-normal subgroup. A *Hamiltonian group* is a non-abelian group in which all subgroups are normal. Richard Dedekind investigated finite Hamiltonian groups in 1895, and came close to a classification of them [6]. The classification was completed by Baer [2]. They are precisely groups of the form $Q_8 \times P$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8 and P is any abelian group containing no element of order 4. Dedekind named these groups in honour of William Hamilton, the discoverer of quaternions, and groups in which all subgroups are normal (that is, abelian or Hamiltonian groups) are sometimes called *Dedekind groups*, or *quasi-Hamiltonian groups*. These are the only groups we need to avoid in Example 2.5.

Example 2.5 Let G_1 and G_2 be non-abelian, non-Hamiltonian groups with identity elements e_1 and e_2, and set $G = G_1 \times G_2$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let H_i be a non-normal subgroup of G_i, and set $H_i^\# := H_i \setminus \{e_i\}$. Let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$, where $L = H_1^\# \times \{e_2\}$ and $R = \{e_1\} \times H_2^\#$.

Lemma 2.6 *Let G, L, R, Γ be as in Example 2.5. Then*

(a) (L, R) has the $2S$-Cayley property, but does not satisfy any of the properties on L, R in Theorem 1.9 or Proposition 5.1.

(b) The map $\varphi : (g_1, g_2) \to (g_1, g_2^{-1})$ induces an isomorphism from Γ to $\mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$.

Proof. The sets L, R are inverse-closed, so Definition 1.2(1) holds. The other two conditions Definition 1.2(2) and (3) hold because $L \subset G_1 \times \{e_2\}$ while $R \subset \{e_1\} \times G_2$. Thus (L, R) has the $2S$-Cayley property.

If $g = (e_1, g_2)$ with $g_2 \in G_2 \setminus N_{G_2}(H_2)$, then $L^{-1}gR \neq L^{-1}Rg$ (such an element g_2 exists since H_1 is not normal in G_1). Similarly if $g = (g_1, e_2)$ with $g_1 \in G_1 \setminus N_{G_1}(H_1)$, then $L^{-1}gR \neq gL^{-1}R$, so neither condition of Theorem 1.9 holds. The factors on the left hand side of condition (δ) in Proposition 5.1 are $N_{G_1}(H_1) \times N_{G_2}(H_2)$ and $G_1 \times N_{G_2}(H_2)$, and their product is not equal to G. Similarly condition (δ') does not hold. This proves part (a).

Each arc of Γ is of the form $((x, y), (\ell^{-1}x, yr))$, for some $(\ell, e_2) \in L, (e_1, r) \in R$. This is mapped by φ to the pair $((x, y^{-1}), (\ell^{-1}x, r^{-1}y^{-1}))$, which is an arc of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$, and conversely each arc of $\mathrm{Cay}(G, LR)$ is the image under φ of an arc of Γ. ■

3 Basic properties of two-sided Cayley graphs

In this section we prove Theorems 1.3, and 1.5. We begin by showing the significance of each of the conditions in Definition 1.2. Recall the definition of $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ given before Definition 1.1. We will say that $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$ contains *multiple arcs* if, for some $x, y \in G$, there are at least two arcs of Γ from x to y.

Lemma 3.1 *Let L, R be nonempty subsets of a group G, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$.*

(a) Definition 1.2(1) *holds if and only if the adjacency relation of Γ is symmetric.*

(b) Definition 1.2(2) *holds if and only if each $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ (for $\ell \in L, r \in R$) is a derangement, and this holds if and only if Γ has no loops.*

(c) Definition 1.2(3) *holds if and only if Γ has no multiple arcs.*

In particular the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 *is valid.*

Proof. (a) Suppose first that the adjacency relation of Γ is symmetric. Then we have the following equivalent conditions on elements $x, y \in G$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} & y = \ell_1^{-1} x r_1 \text{ for some } \ell_1 \in L, r_1 \in R \\ \text{iff} & (x, y) \text{ is an arc of } 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R) \\ \text{iff} & (y, x) \text{ is an arc of } 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R) \\ \text{iff} & x = \ell_2^{-1} y r_2, \text{ or equivalently } y = \ell_2 x r_2^{-1}, \text{ for some } \ell_2 \in L, r_2 \in R \end{array}$$

It follows that $L^{-1}xR = LxR^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$, that is, Definition 1.2(1) holds. Conversely, suppose that this condition holds, and that (x, y) is an arc of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$. Then $y = \ell^{-1} x r$ for some $\ell \in L, r \in R$, and since $L^{-1}xR = LxR^{-1}$ we also have $y = \ell_2 x r_2^{-1}$, for some $\ell_2 \in L, r_2 \in R$, so that (y, x) is also an arc.

(b) If $L^g \cap R \neq \varnothing$ for some $g \in G$, then $g^{-1}\ell g = r$, or equivalently, $(g)\lambda_{\ell,r} = \ell^{-1} g r = g$, for some $\ell \in L, r \in R$, which shows that $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ fixes the vertex g and that there is a loop on g in Γ. Conversely existence of a loop on g implies that $\ell^{-1} g r = g$, for some $\ell \in L, r \in R$, and hence that $g^{-1}\ell g = r \in L^g \cap R$. Similarly, if some $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ fixes a vertex g, then we have $\ell^{-1} g r = g$ and $g^{-1}\ell g = r \in L^g \cap R$.

(c) Suppose that Definition 1.2(1) holds. Assume first that $(L\,L^{-1})^g \cap (R\,R^{-1}) \neq \{e\}$ for some $g \in G$. Then $x := g^{-1}\ell_1\ell_2^{-1} g = r_1 r_2^{-1} \neq e$ for some $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in L$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$, and hence $y := \ell_2^{-1} g r_2 = \ell_1^{-1} g r_1$. Since $r_1 r_2 \neq e$, the pairs $(\ell_1, r_1), (\ell_2, r_2) \in L \times R$ are distinct and so there are two arcs in Γ from g to y. Conversely suppose that Γ contains multiple arcs, say two distinct arcs from vertex x to y. Then we have $y = \ell_i^{-1} x r_i$ for distinct pairs $(\ell_i, r_i) \in L \times R$ with $i = 1, 2$. Now $r_1 \neq r_2$ since otherwise we would also have $\ell_1 = x r_1 y^{-1} = x r_2 y^{-1} = \ell_2$. Hence

$$e \neq r_2 r_1^{-1} = (x^{-1}\ell_2 y)(y^{-1}\ell_1^{-1} x) = x^{-1}(\ell_2\ell_1^{-1})x \in (L\,L^{-1})^x \cap (R\,R^{-1})$$

so condition Definition 1.2(3) fails.

Finally we prove Theorem 1.3. If Γ is simple and undirected, then Definition 1.2 parts (1), (2) and (3) follow from parts (a), (b) and (c) above, respectively, so (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property. Conversely if (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, then parts (a)–(c) imply that Γ is simple and undirected. In this case edges from a vertex x go to the vertices $\ell^{-1}xr$ for $(\ell, r) \in (L, R)$, and if $\ell_1^{-1}xr_1 = \ell_2^{-1}xr_2$, then $x^{-1}(\ell_2\ell_1^{-1})x = r_2r_1^{-1} \in (L\,L^{-1})^x \cap (R\,R^{-1})$, so by condition (3), this element is the identity, and so $r_2 = r_1$ and $\ell_2 = \ell_1$. Thus each vertex of Γ is joined to exactly $|L|.|R|$ other vertices. ■

Next we consider various conditions on the connection set $\hat{S}(L, R)$ of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G : L, R)$ defined in Definition 1.1.

Lemma 3.2 *Let L, R be nonempty subsets of a group G. Then*

(a) $\lambda_{\ell,r}^{-1} = \lambda_{\ell^{-1},r^{-1}}$, for all $\ell, r \in G$, and in particular $\hat{S}(L, R)^{-1} = \hat{S}(L^{-1}, R^{-1})$.

(b) $\lambda_{\ell,r} = \lambda_{u,v}$ if and only if there exists $x \in Z(G)$ such that $u = x\ell$ and $v = xr$.

Proof. (a) By definition, the inverse of $\lambda_{\ell,r}$ maps an element x to ℓxr^{-1}, and hence is equal to $\lambda_{\ell^{-1},r^{-1}}$.

(b) Suppose that $u = x\ell$ and $v = xr$ where $x \in Z(G)$. Then $\lambda_{u,v}$ maps g to $u^{-1}gv = \ell^{-1}x^{-1}gxr = \ell^{-1}gr$ so $\lambda_{u,v} = \lambda_{\ell,r}$. Suppose conversely that $\lambda_{u,v} = \lambda_{\ell,r}$. Considering the action on the identity e, we have $\ell^{-1}r = u^{-1}v$, so $x := u\ell^{-1} = vr^{-1}$. Then, considering the action on an arbitrary $g \in G$ we have $\ell^{-1}gr = u^{-1}gv = \ell^{-1}x^{-1}gxr$, whence $g = x^{-1}gx$ for all $g \in G$ and so $x \in Z(G)$. ■

Proposition 3.3 *Let L, R be subsets of a group G such that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property, and let $D(G)$ denote the set of all derangements of G. Then the following hold.*

($\hat{1}$) *Given $\ell \in L, r \in R$, for each $x \in G$ there exist unique $\ell' \in L, r' \in R$ such that $\varphi := \lambda_{\ell,r} \circ \lambda_{\ell',r'}$ fixes x. Moreover $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ if and only if $L\ell \cap Rr \cap Z(G) \neq \varnothing$.*

($\hat{2}$) *$\hat{S}(L, R) \subseteq D(G)$;*

($\hat{3}$) *$(\hat{S}(L, R) \circ \hat{S}(L, R)^{-1}) \setminus \{\mathsf{id}\} \subseteq D(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\ell \in L, r \in R$, and $x \in G$. First we prove that $|L^{-1}xR| = |L|\,|R|$. Suppose that $\ell_1^{-1}xr_1 = \ell_2^{-1}xr_2$, where the $\ell_i \in L$ and the $r_i \in R$. Then $x^{-1}(\ell_2\ell_1^{-1})x = r_2r_1^{-1} \in (L\,L^{-1})^x \cap (R\,R^{-1})$, which is equal to $\{e\}$ by Definition 1.2(3). It follows that distinct pairs (ℓ, r) from $L \times R$ give distinct elements $\ell^{-1}xr$, so $|L^{-1}xR| = |L|\,|R|$. Now, by Definition 1.2(1), $L^{-1}xR = LxR^{-1}$, and hence there exist $\ell' \in L, r' \in R$ such that $\ell^{-1}xr = \ell'x(r')^{-1}$. This implies that $\varphi := \lambda_{\ell,r} \circ \lambda_{\ell',r'}$ fixes x. The uniqueness of ℓ', r' follows from the fact that $|LxR^{-1}| = |L^{-1}xR| = |L|\,|R|$.

If $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ then $\lambda_{\ell',r'} = \lambda_{\ell,r}^{-1}$, which is $\lambda_{\ell^{-1},r^{-1}}$, by Lemma 3.2(a). Then, by Lemma 3.2(b), $\ell' = z\ell^{-1}$ and $r' = zr^{-1}$, for some $z \in Z(G)$. Hence $z = \ell'\ell = r'r \in L\ell \cap Rr \cap Z(G)$. Conversely if $z \in L\ell \cap Rr \cap Z(G)$, then there exist $\ell' \in L, r' \in R$ such that $z = \ell'\ell = r'r$. By Lemma 3.2, this implies that $\lambda_{\ell',r'} = \lambda_{\ell^{-1},r^{-1}} = \lambda_{\ell,r}^{-1}$, and hence that the map $\varphi := \lambda_{\ell,r} \circ \lambda_{\ell',r'}$ is the identity map. This proves condition $(\hat{1})$.

Condition $(\hat{2})$ follows from the condition of Definition 1.2(2) and Lemma 3.1(b). We now consider condition $(\hat{3})$. Supppose that $\varphi := \lambda_{\ell_1,r_1} \circ \lambda_{\ell_2^{-1},r_2^{-1}} \neq \mathsf{id}$, where the $\ell_i \in L, r_i \in R$. Suppose for a contradiction that φ fixes the element $g \in G$. Then $g = (g)\varphi = \ell_2(\ell_1^{-1}gr_1)r_2^{-1}$, and hence $r_1r_2^{-1} = g^{-1}(\ell_1\ell_2^{-1})g \in (L\,L^{-1})^g \cap (R\,R^{-1})$, contradicting the condition of Definition 1.2(3). Hence $\varphi \in D(G)$. ■

It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) that condition $(\hat{2})$ above is equivalent to Definition 1.2(2). Also the proof above shows that Definition 1.2(3) implies condition $(\hat{3})$, while parts (1) and (3) of Definition 1.2 are used together to obtain condition $(\hat{1})$.

Question 3.4 *Under what conditions on L and R do the conditions $(\hat{1})$–$(\hat{3})$ imply that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property?*

Our next task is to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We consider $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$, where L, R are inverse-closed subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property. In particular, by Theorem 1.3, Γ is a simple undirected graph.

Suppose first that Γ is connected. Then, for each $g \in G$, there is a path from the vertex e to g, and hence $\ell_k^{-1}\ell_{k-1}^{-1}\ldots\ell_1^{-1}er_1\ldots r_{k-1}r_k = g$, for some k and some $\ell_i \in L, r_i \in R$. Thus $g = xy$ with $x = \ell_k^{-1}\ell_{k-1}^{-1}\ldots\ell_1^{-1} \in \langle L\rangle$ and $y = r_1\ldots r_k \in \langle R\rangle$, and so $G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$. Choosing the element g to be $\ell \in L$, we obtain an expression $e = \ell^{-1}xy$ and here $\ell^{-1}x$ is a word in $L = L^{-1}$ of length $k+1$ and y is a word in R of length k. Thus condition $(*)$ holds.

Next suppose that $G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$, and let $g \in G$. Then we have $g = x_gy_g$, where x_g, y_g are words in L, R, respectively. Suppose first that $(*)$ holds. Then there are words x_e, y_e in L and R respectively, with lengths of opposite parity such that $x_ey_e = e$. If necessary, by replacing x_g by x_gx_e and y_g by y_ey_g, we may assume that the lengths of x_g and y_g have the same parity. Moreover, by Definition 1.2(3), $e \in (L\,L^1) \cap (R\,R^{-1}) = L^2 \cap R^2$, so we may modify x_g and y_g further and assume that x_g and y_g have the same length. These words then give a path in Γ from e to g. Hence Γ is connected.

Now assume that $G = \langle L\rangle\langle R\rangle$ with L, R inverse-closed, but that condition $(*)$ fails. It follows from our proof above that Γ is not connected. Let $g \in G$ be expressed as $g = x_gy_g$ as above. Using the property $e \in L^2 \cap R^2$, we may modify x_g, y_g so that either (i) $|x_g| = |y_g|$, or (ii) $|x_g| = 1 + |y_g|$. Since $(*)$ fails, for each expression $e = x_ey_e$ with x_e, y_e words in L, R respectively, the lengths of x_e, y_e must have the same parity. This implies that, for a given element g, either each expression satisfies (i) or each expression satisfies (ii). Note that (ii)

Γ'	φ
$2\mathrm{SCay}(G; R, L)$	$g \mapsto g^{-1}$
$2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L^\sigma, R^\sigma)$	$g \mapsto g^\sigma$
$2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L^x, R^y)$	$g \mapsto x^{-1}gy$

Table 1: Isomorphisms in the proof of Theorem 1.7

holds for each $\ell \in L$ and each $r \in R$, and we have an edge from ℓ to $\ell^{-1}\ell r = r$. If (i) holds for g then we obtain a path in Γ from e to g. Suppose then that (ii) holds for g, and let ℓ be the last letter of L in the word x_g. Then the expression $g = x_g y_g$ satisfying (ii) gives a path in Γ from ℓ to g. Thus Γ has two connected components, and they are the sets described in Remark 1.6. ■

4 Some isomorphisms of two-sided Cayley graphs

In this section we prove Theorems 1.7 and 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We consider $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$, where L, R are non-empty subsets of a group G, and we are given $x, y \in G$, and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. It is straightfoward to check that each of the conditions of Definition 1.2 holds for one of the pairs $(L, R), (R, L), (L^\sigma, R^\sigma)$, (L^x, R^y) if and only if it holds for all of them, noting, for example, that $(L^\sigma(L^\sigma)^{-1})^g \cap R^\sigma(R^\sigma)^{-1} = ((L\,L^{-1})^{\sigma g \sigma^{-1}} \cap R\,R^{-1})^\sigma$. This proves part (a).

Now suppose that (L, R) has the 2S-Cayley property. Then, for each of the graphs Γ' in Table 1, it is straightforward to check that the map $\varphi : G \to G$ in the same line of the table is an isomorphism from Γ to Γ'. For example, in the third line, φ maps the arc $(g, \ell^{-1}gr)$ of Γ to the pair $(x^{-1}gy, (\ell^x)^{-1}x^{-1}gyr^y)$ which is an arc of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L^x, R^y)$. ■

Now we prove Theorem 1.8, which implies that the Petersen graph is not a two-sided Cayley graph for any group of order 10.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$, where L, R are subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and suppose that Γ is connected and regular of prime valency p, that is, each vertex lies on exactly p edges. Suppose moreover that Γ is not a Cayley graph. Then p is an odd prime, since each connected graph of valency 2 is a cycle, and hence a Cayley graph. By Theorem 1.3, the valency of Γ satisfies $p = |L|.|R|$, and so $\{|L|, |R|\} = \{p, 1\}$. Also, by Theorem 1.7(b), $\Gamma \cong 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; R, L)$, and so, up to isomorphism, we may assume that $|L| = p$ and $|R| = 1$. Let $R = \{r\}$. If $r \in Z(G)$, then each element g is adjacent precisely to the vertices $\ell^{-1}gr = \ell^{-1}rg$, for $\ell \in L$, and hence $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$, a contradiction. Hence r lies in a G-conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ of non-central elements, and (a) holds. Note that $L \cap \mathcal{C} = \varnothing$ by Definition 1.2 (2).

Since Γ is connected, each $g \in G$ can be reached by a path from e, and by the definition of adjacency and using Definition 1.2 (1), we find that $g = \ell_k^{-1} \dots \ell_1^{-1} e r_1 \dots r_k$, for some $\ell_i \in L, r_i \in R$. Hence $G = H \langle r \rangle$, where $H = \langle L \rangle$. Now by Definition 1.2 (1) with $g = e$, we have $L^{-1}r = Lr^{-1}$, and hence $r^2 \in H$. Suppose that $H \neq G$. Then H has index 2 in G. Consider the bijection $\theta : G \to H \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ given by $\theta : h \mapsto (h, 0), hr \mapsto (h, 1)$ for $h \in H$. Then θ induces an isomorphism from Γ to $\Sigma := \mathrm{Cay}(H \times \mathbb{Z}_2, L')$ where $L' = \{(\ell^{-1}, 1) | \ell \in L\}$ (since, for all $h \in H$, an arc $(h, \ell^{-1}hr)$ is mapped to an arc $((h, 0), (\ell^{-1}h, 1))$ of Σ, while an arc $(hr, \ell^{-1}hr^2)$ of Γ is mapped to an arc $((h, 1), (\ell^{-1}hr^2, 0))$ of Σ). This contradiction shows that $H = G$, so part (b) is proved.

If G is abelian then there are no non-central elements, so (a) fails and therefore each Γ is a Cayley graph. Suppose now that $G = \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = e, bab = a^{-1} \rangle \cong D_{2n}$ with n odd. Let $Z = \langle a \rangle \cong Z_n$, and note that the set of involutions in G forms a single conjugacy class and equals $G \setminus Z$. Let $\Gamma, L, H, r, \mathcal{C}$ be as above. Since $G = H$, it follows that L must contain some element of $G \setminus Z$, and by Theoprem 1.7 we may assume that $b \in L$. Since $L \cap \mathcal{C} = \varnothing$, the element r belongs to Z, and in particular $|r|$ is odd (dividing n, recall that $r \neq e$). By Definition 1.2(1), $L^{-1}gr = Lgr^{-1}$, and hence $L^{-1}(gr^2g^{-1}) = L$, for each $g \in G$. Now $gr^2g^{-1} = r^2$ or r^{-2} according as $g \in Z$ or $g \notin Z$. Thus $L^{-1}r^{\pm 2} = L$. For each involution $b' \in L$ (for example, $b' = b$), this implies that L contains $b's$ for each $s \in \langle r^2 \rangle = \langle r \rangle$ (recall that $|r|$ is odd). Simlarly if $a^i \in L$ then $a^{-i}r^{\pm 2} \in L$, and hence also $a^i r^{\pm 4} \in L$: so in this case we again find that L contains $a^i s$ for each $s \in \langle r^4 \rangle = \langle r \rangle$. Thus L is a union of cosets of the subgroup $\langle r \rangle$, and hence $|L| = p$ is divisible by $|r|$. It follows that $|r| = p$, $L = b\langle r \rangle$, and hence that $G = \langle L \rangle = D_{2p}$ so $n = p$. An easy calculations shows that Γ is the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, L)$. This contradiction completes the proof. ■

5 Two-sided Cayley graphs and Cayley graphs

We begin by proving Theorem 1.9, which gives sufficient conditions on L, R for a two-sided Cayley graph $\mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$ to be a Cayley graph. Recall, for a group G, the regular permutation groups in $\mathrm{Sym}(G)$, $G_R = \{\lambda_{e,g} | g \in G\}$ and $G_L = \{\lambda_{g,e} | g \in G\}$, each isomorphic to G.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let L, R be subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{2SCay}(G; L, R)$. By Definition 1.2(1), each arc of Γ has the form $a = (x, \ell^{-1}xr)$ for some $x \in G, \ell \in L, r \in R$.

Suppose first that $L^{-1}gR = L^{-1}Rg$ for each $g \in G$. Then $a = (x, \ell^{-1}r'x)$ for some $r' \in R$. The image of the arc a under an element $\lambda_{e,g} \in G_R$ is the pair $(xg, \ell^{-1}r'xg) = (xg, \ell^{-1}xgr'')$, for some $r'' \in R$ (using $L^{-1}(xg)R = L^{-1}R(xg)$), and this is again an arc. Hence $\lambda_{e,g}$ is an automorphism of Γ, and it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains the regular subgroup G_R. Thus, by Sabidussi's Theorem [14], Γ is a Caley graph for G. Indeed, since each vertex x is adjacent precisely to the vertices in $L^{-1}Rx$ it follows that $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$. Conversely if

$G_R \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then the set of vertices adjacent to $x = (e)\lambda_{e,x}$ is equal to the image under $\lambda_{e,x}$ of the set $L^{-1}R$ of vertices adjacent to e, and this is the set $L^{-1}Rx$. Thus $L^{-1}xR = L^{-1}Rx$ for each x. Here $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$.

A similar proof shows that, the condition $L^{-1}gR = gL^{-1}R$, for each $g \in G$, holds if and only if $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains the regular subgroup G_L. (In this case $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, R^{-1}L)$ under the isomorphism $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$.) ■

Now we give sufficient conditions for $2SCay(G; L, R)$ to be a Cayley graph, as mentioned in Remark 1.10. For any subset H of a group G , the *normalizer* of H in G is $N_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid Hg = gH\}$. It is a subgroup of G.

Proposition 5.1 *Let L, R be subsets of a group G with the 2S-Cayley property, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$. Then Γ is a Cayley graph if at least one of the following conditions holds :*

$$(\delta)\ (N_G(L^{-1}) \cap N_G(L^{-1}R)).N_G(R) = G,$$

$$(\delta')\ N_G(L^{-1}).(N_G(L^{-1}R) \cap N_G(R)) = G.$$

In particular, if $G = N_G(L)$ then $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$, and if $G = N_G(R)$ then $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, R^{-1}L)$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. We show that $L^{-1}gR = L^{-1}Rg$ if condition (δ) holds. From (δ) we have $g = xy$ where $x \in N_G(L^{-1}) \cap N_G(L^{-1}R))$ and $y \in N_G(R)$. Therefore, $L^{-1}gR = L^{-1}xyR = xL^{-1}Ry = L^{-1}Rxy = L^{-1}Rg$ and then, by Theorem 1.9, $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, L^{-1}R)$. A similar argument shows that condition (δ') implies that $L^{-1}gR = gL^{-1}R$ for each g in G. Then by Theorem 1.9, $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, R^{-1}L)$. ■

Finally, as mentioned in Remark 1.10, we study elements in $\langle G_L, G_R\rangle = \{\lambda_{x,y} \mid x, y \in G\}$ which act as automorphisms of $2\mathrm{SCay}(G : L, R)$, and obtain a sufficient condition for vert-transitivity.

Proposition 5.2 *Let L, R be non-empty subsets of a group G, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains $K := \{\lambda_{x,y} \mid x \in N_G(L), y \in N_G(R)\}$ and $N_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(L) \cap N_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(R)$. In particular, K acts vertex-transitively on Γ if and only if $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$.*

Proof. We note that $N_G(L)$ is a subgroup and is equal to $N_G(L^{-1})$, with similar comments for $N_G(R)$. Let $\lambda_{x,y} \in K$, and let $a = (g, \ell^{-1}gr))$ be an arc of Γ. The image of a under $\lambda_{x,y}$ is the pair $(x^{-1}gy, x^{-1}\ell^{-1}gry)$, and this is an arc of Γ since $x^{-1}\ell^{-1}gry = (\ell')^{-1}(x^{-1}gy)r'$, for some $\ell' \in L$ and $r' \in R$, by the definition of K. Let $\sigma \in N_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(L) \cap N_{\mathrm{Aut}(G)}(R)$. Then the image of a under σ is $(g^\sigma, \ell^{-\sigma}g^\sigma r^\sigma)$, and this is an arc of Γ since $\ell^\sigma \in L, r^\sigma \in R$.

Let $g \in G$. Suppose first that $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$. Then $g = xy$ for some $x \in N_G(L), y \in N_G(R)$, and $\lambda_{x,y} \in K$ maps e to g so K is vertex-transitive. Conversely suppose that K is vertex-transitive, so some $\lambda_{x,y} \in K$ maps e to g. Then $g = x^{-1}ey \in N_G(L)N_G(R)$. ■

The conditions involved in the $2S$-Cayley property become much simpler in the case where $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$.

Proposition 5.3 *Let L, R be non-empty subsets of a group G such that $G = N_G(L)N_G(R)$, and let $\Gamma = 2\mathrm{SCay}(G; L, R)$. Then (L, R) has the $2S$-Cayley property if and only if the following all hold.*

(1′) $L^{-1}R = LR^{-1}$;

(2′) $L \cap R = \varnothing$;

(3′) $L^{-1}L \cap R^{-1}R = \{e\}$.

Proof. We give full details for part (2′). Let $g \in G$. Since $N_G(L)N_G(R) = G$, $g = \ell r$ for some $\ell \in N_G(L)$ and $r \in N_G(R)$. Recall that $N_G(L) = N_G(L^{-1})$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned} L^g \cap R = \varnothing &\iff L^{\ell r} \cap R = \varnothing \\ &\iff L^{\ell} \cap R^{r^{-1}} = \varnothing \\ &\iff L \cap R = \varnothing. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Definition 1.2(2) holds if and only if $L \cap R = \varnothing$. Similar proofs show that, for $i = 1, 3$, Definition 1.2(i) holds if and only if (i') holds. ■

Acknowledgements

The first author acknowledges support of an Endeavour Award of the Australian Government for his study leave during which the research for this paper began. He thanks the School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, for their warm hospitality during his visiting appointment and for the facilities and help provided. In addition he thanks the Iranian Elite National Foundation (Bonyad Melli Nokhbegan) for financial support and the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, for their hospitality during his postdoctoral appointment and for the facilities.

References

[1] Fred Annexstein, Marc Baumslag, and Arnold L. Rosenberg, Group action graphs and parallel architectures, *SIAM J. Comput.* 19 (1990), no. 3, 544–569. MR MR1041547 (91g:68070)

[2] Reinhold Baer, Gruppen mit hamiltonschem Kern, *Compositio Math.* 2 (1935), 241–246. MR 155691614

[3] Vineet Bafna and Pavel A. Pevzner, Genome rearrangements and sorting by reversals, *SIAM J. Comput.* 25 (1996), no. 2, 272–289. MR MR1379301 (97d:92007)

[4] Lowell W. Beineke and Robin J. Wilson (eds.), *Topics in algebraic graph theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 102, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR MR2125091 (2005m:05002)

[5] Professor Cayley, Desiderata and Suggestions: No. 2. The Theory of Groups: Graphical Representation, *Amer. J. Math.* 1 (1878), no. 2, 174–176. MR MR1505159

[6] R. Dedekind, Ueber Gruppen, deren sämmtliche Theiler Normaltheiler sind, *Math. Ann.* 48 (1897), no. 4, 548–561. MR 1510943

[7] [9] Ginette Gauyacq, On quasi-Cayley graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 77 (1997), no. 1, 43–58. MR MR1460327 (98h:05089)

[8] Geňa Hahn and Gert Sabidussi (eds.), *Graph symmetry*, NATO Advanced Science Institutes Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, vol. 497, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1997, Algebraic methods and applications. MR MR1468785 (98d:05002)

[9] J. Kececioglu and D. Sankoff, Exact and approximation algorithms for sorting by reversals, with application to genome rearrangement, *Algorithmica* 13 (1995), no. 1–2, 180–210. MR MR1304314 (95j:68125)

[10] Andrei V. Kelarev and Cheryl E. Praeger, On transitive Cayley graphs of groups and semigroups, *European J. Combin.* 24 (2003), no. 1, 59–72. MR MR1957965 (2003k:20104)

[11] S. Lakshmivarahan, Jung Sing Jwo, and S. K. Dhall, Symmetry in interconnection networks based on Cayley graphs of permutation groups: a survey, *Parallel Comput.* 19 (1993), no. 4, 361–407. MR MR1216119 (94j:68240)

[12] E. Mwambene, *Representing graphs on groupoids: symmetry and form*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Vienna, 2001.

[13] E. Mwambene, Cayley graphs on left quasi-groups and groupoids representing k-generalised Petersen graphs, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 2544–2547.

[14] Gert Sabidussi, On a class of fixed-point-free graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1958), 800–804. MR 0097068 (20 #3548)